arXiv:1601.03135v1 [math.CA] 13 Jan 2016

Unspecified Journal
Volume 00, Number 0, Pages 000–000
S ????-????(XX)0000-0

COMPUTATION OF SOME TRANSCENDENTAL INTEGRALS FROM PATH SIGNATURES

ANDREW URSITTI

ABSTRACT. It is shown that if γ is a path of finite p variation ($1 \leq p < 2$) in a euclidean vector space and f, g, h are Lipschitz functions on the trace of γ then $s \mapsto F(s) = \int_\gamma f^s g dh$ defines an entire holomorphic function provided the convex hull of the image of f does not contain zero. If in addition $|\log z| \leq \log 2$ on the convex hull of the image of f then for any $s \in \mathbf{C}$, $F(s)$ can be computed from the nonnegative integer values $\{F(k)\}_{k\in\mathbf{N}}$. If in addition to these hypotheses each of f, g, h is a polynomial, then the values $F(k)$ are computable directly from the signature of γ thus all values of $F(s)$ are computable from the signature. As a special case the winding number of a closed path γ around an affine submanifold of codimension two is computed from finitely many terms of the signature provided certain estimates are satisfied.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this note we will show how certain transcendental integrals of the form $\int_\gamma f^s g dh$ can be algorithmically recovered from the signature of the path γ. As a special case we will give an alternate proof of a result due originally to P. Yam [Yam08] concerning the recovery of the winding number of a path around a codimension two affine submanifold from the signature of the path provided certain estimates are satisfied. The signature of γ is the infinite tensor (i.e. formal series) $X_\gamma \in \widehat{\bigoplus}_{k\geq 0} V^{\otimes k}$ defined in degree zero to be 1 and in degree $k > 0$ by the iterated integral of tensors

$$\int_{0<t_1<\cdots<t_k<T} d\gamma_{t_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes d\gamma_{t_k}.$$

The signature is a homomorphism from the collection of all paths beginning at zero of finite p variation ($1 \leq p < 2$), viewed as a group under concatenation, into the group of infinite tensors with 1 in degree zero. An orientation preserving change of parameter does not change the signature, and an orientation reversing change of parameter inverts the signature, as was proved by K.T. Chen [Che54] for piecewise $\mathscr{C}^1$ paths (the corresponding results are easily proved for paths of finite p variation with $1 \leq p < 2$ using the Young-Lóeve integration theory [You36, FV10]). Choosing a specific path and contatenating it with its inverse therefore produces a path with trivial signature, and Chen later proved [Che58] that concatenations of such paths are essentially the only paths with trivial signature. Specifically, Chen defined a path to be *irreducible* if it doesn't contain any segments which consist of a path and its inverse concatenated in succession, and then proved that two irreducible paths

Received by the editors January 14, 2016.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary .

©0000 (copyright holder)

have the same signature if and only if they differ by a translation and an orientation preserving change of parameter. This was later generalized to paths of bounded variation by Hambly and Lyons [HL10], who defined the notion of *tree-like* paths and proved that two paths of bounded variation have the same signature if and only if the concatenation of one with the inverse of the other is a lipschitz tree-like path. Boedihardjo, Ni and Qian [BNQ14] proved that two simple paths of finite p variation ($1 \leq p < 2$) in the plane have the same signature if and only if they differ by translation and orientation preserving change of parameter. These uniqueness results show that one should expect topological data such as the winding number to be contained in the signature.

It should be mentioned that in [BNQ14] the authors also proved that for a closed path in the plane with variation less than two, the moments of the winding number when viewed as a function on the plane can be recovered by evaluating the signature on Lyndon words (up to some simple constant multiples). Thus, [BNQ14] essentially contains a proof that the winding number about any specific point can be recovered from the signature by first evaluating the signature on Lyndon words to find the moments, then computing the winding number at a specific point by either computing its convolution with a gaussian approximate identity from the moments and taking a limit, or computing the fourier transform from the moments, then inverting the fourier transform to find the winding number at a specific point.

Here the winding number will be recovered from the signature by a different method which we now describe. The standing hypotheses are these:

(1) V is a finite dimensional euclidean vector space over $\mathbf{R}$.
(2) $\gamma : [0,T] \to V$ is a continuous path with finite p variation, $1 \leq p < 2$.
(3) $f : \gamma([0,T]) \to \mathbf{C}$ is a lipschitz function from $\gamma([0,T]) \subset V$ (as a metric space with metric inherited from V) such that the convex hull of the image $f(\gamma([0,T]))$ does not contain zero.
(4) $g, h : \gamma([0,T]) \to \mathbf{C}$ are arbitrary Lipschitz functions.
(5) $E \in \mathscr{O}(\mathbf{C})$ is an arbitrary entire function.
(6) $x_1, x_2 \in V^*$ are independent, $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbf{R}$ and

$$1/2 < (x_1 \circ \gamma - \xi_1)^2 + (x_2 \circ \gamma - \xi_2)^2 < 2$$

on $[0,T]$.

To condense notation denote by S_γ the image $S_\gamma = \gamma([0,T]) \subset V$ and let $\log(\cdot)$ be a continuous branch of the logarithm on $f(S_\gamma)$. Under these hypotheses we shall prove in section 2 that $(E,g,h) \mapsto I_\gamma^f(E,g,h) = \int_\gamma (E \circ \log \circ f) g dh$ defines a $\mathbf{C}$-trilinear map $\mathscr{O}(\mathbf{C}) \times \mathrm{Lip}(S_\gamma) \times \mathrm{Lip}(S_\gamma) \to \mathbf{C}$ and give an explicit bound on its absolute value (Corollary 2.2). Choosing $E = E_{k,s} \in \mathscr{O}(\mathbf{C})$ given by $E_{k,s}(z) = z^k e^{sz}$ defines the integral $I_\gamma^f(E_{k,s}, g, h) = \int_\gamma (\log f)^k f^s g dh$ and naturally one expects that varying the parameter $s \in \mathbf{C}$ should produce an entire function with derivative $I_\gamma^f(E_{k+1,s}, g, h)$, this is indeed the case and is also proved in section 2 (Theorem 2.3).

The entire function $s \mapsto F(s) = I_\gamma^f(E_{0,s}, g, h) = \int_\gamma f^s g dh$ interpolates the values $\{F(k)\}_{k \in \mathbf{N}}$.[1] In section 3 we use a general procedure developed by Boas and Buck [BB64, Buc47, Buc48] to recover any value $F(s)$ from the nonnegative integer values $\{F(k)\}_{k \in \mathbf{N}}$, provided certain estimates are satisfied. Specifically, we shall prove:

[1] Here $\mathbf{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$, i.e. $0 \in \mathbf{N}$.

Theorem 1.1. *If* $|\log z| < \log 2$ *for all* $z \in \mathrm{h}(f(S_\gamma))$*, then the series*

$$\sum_{0\leq n}(-1)^n\binom{s}{n}\sum_{0\leq k\leq n}(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}\int_\gamma f^k g dh$$

converges to $\int_\gamma f^s g dh$*.*

Here $\mathrm{h}(\cdot)$ denotes the convex hull. It should be noted that this is an iterated sum, and the order of summation should not be changed (however, the sum in n is absolutely convergent once the inner sums in the paramter k are computed). The inequality $|\log z| < \log 2$ is satisfied by at most one branch of the logarithm on $\mathrm{h}(f(S_\gamma))$, since $\log 2$ is less than 2π, and this is a crucial observation since superficially Theorem 1.1 implies that $F(s)$, which depends on the chosen branch of the logarithm, can be computed from the integer-exponent values $\{F(k)\}_{k\in\mathbf{N}}$, which do not depend on this choice.

If f, g and h are polynomials then $F(k) = \int_\gamma f^k g dh$ can be extracted directly from the signature of γ. Specifically, if $\gamma(0) = 0$ and if $x_1, \ldots, x_d$ is a basis for V^* and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{N}^d$ are multi-indices, then

(1.1)
$$\int_\gamma x^\alpha d(x^\beta) = \sum_{i\leq d}\beta_i \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_{|\alpha|+|\beta|-1}} \left\langle \sigma[(x_1^{\otimes(\alpha_1+\beta_1)}\otimes\cdots\otimes x_d^{\otimes(\alpha_d+\beta_d)})/x_i]\otimes x_i, X_\gamma\right\rangle.$$

In this expression, $(x_1^{\otimes(\alpha_1+\beta_1)}\otimes\cdots\otimes x_d^{\otimes(\alpha_d+\beta_d)})/x_i$ indicates the tensor $x_1^{\otimes(\alpha_1+\beta_1)}\otimes\cdots\otimes x_d^{\otimes(\alpha_d+\beta_d)}$ with exactly *one* factor x_i removed. If $\beta_i > 0$ (which is the only case that matters) at least one factor of x_i appears inside of a consecutive list of such factors in $x_1^{\otimes(\alpha_1+\beta_1)}\otimes\cdots\otimes x_d^{\otimes(\alpha_d+\beta_d)}$, so this "division" operation is well defined. The entire argument $\sigma[(x_1^{\otimes(\alpha_1+\beta_1)}\otimes\cdots\otimes x_d^{\otimes(\alpha_d+\beta_d)})/x_i]\otimes x_i$ can be interpreted as follows: from $x_1^{\otimes(\alpha_1+\beta_1)}\cdots\otimes x_d^{\otimes(\alpha_d+\beta_d)}$, remove one factor x_i, let the permutation σ permute the remaining $|\alpha|+|\beta|-1$ factors, then replace the factor x_i on the right. Integrals of the form $\int_\gamma PdQ$ where P and Q are polynomials can then be computed by splitting P and Q into monomials and using (1.1). With minor adjustments one can do away with the requirement $\gamma(0) = 0$.

In particular, if f, g and h are polynomials then the values $\{F(k)\}_{k\in\mathbf{N}}$ can be extracted directly from X_γ so evidently Theorem 1.1 shows that if $|\log z| < \log 2$ on the convex hull of the trace of $f\circ\gamma$, then every value of the entire function $F(s) = \int_\gamma f^s g dh$ can be recovered from the signature of γ. In particular, if in addition we assume that γ is a closed path then we can use this method to recover the winding number of γ around the codimension two affine submanifold $\{x_1 = \xi_1, x_2 = \xi_2\}$ provided the standing hypothesis $1/2 < (x_1\circ\gamma - \xi_1)^2 + (x_2\circ\gamma - \xi_2)^2 < 2$ is satisfied. The $x_1\wedge x_2$-oriented winding number of γ around $\{x_1 = \xi_1, x_2 = \xi_2\}$ is given by

$$W_\gamma(x_1\wedge x_2; \xi_1, \xi_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_\gamma \frac{(x_1-\xi_1)dx_2 - (x_2-\xi_2)dx_1}{(x_1-\xi_1)^2+(x_2-\xi_2)^2}$$

Thus, further specifying the parameters to $f = (x_1-\xi_1)^2+(x_2-\xi_2)^2$, $g = x_1-\xi_1$, $h = x_2-\xi_2$, and then switching g and h for the second summand, we find that

$$F(s) = \int_\gamma [(x_1-\xi_1)^2+(x_2-\xi_2)^2]^s((x_1-\xi_1)dx_2 - (x_2-\xi_2)dx_1)$$

can be recovered from the signature by Theorem 1.1, provided that $1/2 < (x_1 \circ \gamma - \xi_1)^2 + (x_2 \circ \gamma - \xi_2)^2 < 2$. In particular the winding number $\frac{1}{2\pi}F(-1) = W_\gamma(x_1 \wedge x_2; \xi_1, \xi_2)$ can be found in this manner. Specifically, we prove:

Theorem 1.2. *If in addition to the standing hypotheses γ is a closed path, then*

$$W_\gamma(x_1 \wedge x_2; \xi_1, \xi_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{0 \le n \le N} \sum_{0 \le k \le n} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \sum_{k_1+k_2=k} \frac{k!}{k_1!k_2!} \sum_{\substack{j_1^1+j_1^2=2k_1 \\ j_2^1+j_2^2=2k_2}} \frac{(2k_1)!}{j_1^1!j_1^2!} \frac{(2k_2)!}{j_2^1!j_2^2!} \left\langle T_{j_2^1,j_2^2}^{j_1^1,j_1^2}, X_\gamma \right\rangle$$

where $T_{j_2^1,j_2^2}^{j_1^1,j_1^2} \in V^{*\otimes(j_1^1+j_2^2+1)} \bigoplus V^{*\otimes(j_1^1+j_2^2+2)}$ is defined in (4.1).

In addition to this it is shown that $W_\gamma(x_1 \wedge x_2; \xi_1, \xi_2)$ can be computed from only finitely many terms in the signature, and an estimate on how many terms are necessary is given. All of this is detailed in section 4.

2. Regularity of I_γ^f

To condense notation, define

$$M(E, f, g) = \|g\|_{\mathrm{Lip}(S_\gamma)} \max_{f(S_\gamma)} |E \circ \log| + \frac{\|f\|_{\mathrm{Lip}(S_\gamma)} \max_{S_\gamma} |g| \max_{\mathrm{h}(f(S_\gamma))} |E' \circ \log|}{\mathrm{dist}(0, \mathrm{h}(f(S_\gamma)))}$$

Regarding $\mathrm{dist}(0, \mathrm{h}(f(S_\gamma)))$, we note that $f(S_\gamma)$ is compact so $\mathrm{h}(f(S_\gamma))$ is closed and since zero is not in $\mathrm{h}(f(S_\gamma))$ by hypothesis, $\mathrm{dist}(0, \mathrm{h}(f(S_\gamma)))$ is positive.

Lemma 2.1. *The map $(E \circ \log \circ f)g : S_\gamma \to \mathbf{C}$ is Lipschitz on S_γ and satisfies $\|(E \circ \log \circ f)g\|_{\mathrm{Lip}(S_\gamma)} \le M(E, f, g)$.*

Proof. Let $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbf{C}$, $z_1, z_2 \in \mathrm{h}(f(S_\gamma))$ and let $l_{z_1}^{z_2} \subset \mathrm{h}(f(S_\gamma))$ denote the oriented line segment connecting z_1 to z_2 so that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|E(\log z_2)w_2 - E(\log z_1)w_1| \\
&\quad = |w_2(E(\log z_2) - E(\log z_1)) + E(\log z_1)(w_2 - w_1)| \\
&\quad \le |w_2||E(\log z_2) - E(\log z_1)| + |E(\log z_1)||w_2 - w_1| \\
&\quad = |w_2| \left| \int_{l_{z_1}^{z_2}} E'(\log z) z^{-1} dz \right| + |E(\log z_1)||w_2 - w_1| \\
&\quad \le |w_2| \left(\max_{z \in l_{z_1}^{z_2}} |E'(\log z) z^{-1}| \right) |z_2 - z_1| + |E(\log z_1)||w_2 - w_1|
\end{aligned}$$

For any $t_1, t_2 \in [0,T]$, we can use this estimate with $w_1 = g(\gamma(t_1))$, $w_2 = g(\gamma(t_2))$, $z_1 = f(\gamma(t_1))$ and $z_2 = f(\gamma(t_2))$ to write

$$\begin{aligned}
&|E(\log f(\gamma(t_2)))g(\gamma(t_2)) - E(\log f(\gamma(t_1)))g(\gamma(t_1))| \\
&\quad \leq |g(\gamma(t_2))| \left(\max_{z \in l_{f(\gamma(t_1))}^{f(\gamma(t_2))}} |E'(\log z) z^{-1}| \right) |f(\gamma(t_2)) - f(\gamma(t_1))| \\
&\qquad\qquad + |E(\log f(\gamma(t_1)))||g(\gamma(t_2)) - g(\gamma(t_1))| \\
&\quad \leq \max_{S_\gamma} |g| \max_{\mathrm{h}(f(S_\gamma))} |(E' \circ \log(\cdot))(\cdot)^{-1}| \|f\|_{\mathrm{Lip}(S_\gamma)} |\gamma(t_2) - \gamma(t_1)| \\
&\qquad\qquad + \max_{f(S_\gamma)} |E \circ \log| \|g\|_{\mathrm{Lip}(S_\gamma)} |\gamma(t_2) - \gamma(t_1)| \\
&\quad \leq \max_{S_\gamma} |g| \max_{\mathrm{h}(f(S_\gamma))} |E' \circ \log| \|f\|_{\mathrm{Lip}(S_\gamma)} \\
&\qquad\qquad \times \mathrm{dist}(0, \mathrm{h}(f(S_\gamma)))^{-1} |\gamma(t_2) - \gamma(t_1)| \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad + \max_{f(S_\gamma)} |E \circ \log| \|g\|_{\mathrm{Lip}(S_\gamma)} |\gamma(t_2) - \gamma(t_1)| \\
&\quad = M(E,f,g) |\gamma(t_2) - \gamma(t_1)|.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Combining Lemma 2.1 with the Young-Lóeve integration theory [You36, FV10], we have the following corollary:

Corollary 2.2. *The expression*

$$I_\gamma^f(E,g,h) = \int_\gamma (E \circ \log \circ f) g dh$$

defines a $\mathbf{C}$*-trilinear map* $I_\gamma^f(\cdot,\cdot,\cdot) : \mathscr{O}(\mathbf{C}) \times \mathrm{Lip}(S_\gamma) \times \mathrm{Lip}(S_\gamma) \to \mathbf{C}$ *which satisfies the estimate*

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_\gamma^f(E,g,h)| \leq{}& \frac{1}{1 - 2^{1-2/p}} M(E,f,g) \|h\|_{\mathrm{Lip}(S_\gamma)} \|\gamma\|_{p;[0,T]}^2 \\
&+ |E \circ \log \circ f \circ \gamma(0)||g \circ \gamma(0)||h \circ \gamma(T) - h \circ \gamma(0)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. From Young's estimate (or rather a variation thereof presented in [FV10], e.g.),

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_\gamma^f(E,g,h)| &\leq \frac{1}{1 - 2^{1-2/p}} \|(E \circ \log \circ f \circ \gamma)(g \circ \gamma)\|_{p;[0,T]} \|h \circ \gamma\|_{p;[0,T]} \\
&\quad + |E \circ \log \circ f \circ \gamma(0)||g \circ \gamma(0)||h \circ \gamma(T) - h \circ \gamma(0)| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{1 - 2^{1-2/p}} M(E,f,g) \|h\|_{\mathrm{Lip}(S_\gamma)} \|\gamma\|_{p;[0,T]}^2 \\
&\quad + |E \circ \log \circ f \circ \gamma(0)||g \circ \gamma(0)||h \circ \gamma(T) - h \circ \gamma(0)|.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Now we would like to consider simultaneously the family of entire functions $\{E_{k,s} : k \in \mathbf{N}, s \in \mathbf{C}\}$ given by $E_{k,s}(z) = z^k e^{sz}$, thus producing the integrals

$$I_\gamma^f(E_{k,s},g,h) = \int_\gamma (E_{k,s} \circ \log \circ f) g dh = \int_\gamma (\log f)^k e^{s \log f} g dh = \int_\gamma (\log f)^k f^s g dh.$$

Theorem 2.3. *For any* $k \in \mathbf{N}$*,* $s \mapsto I_\gamma^f(E_{k,s},g,h)$ *defines an entire function.*

Proof. First, it must be proved that $I^f_\gamma(E_{k,(\cdot)}, g, h)$ is differentiable. The natural guess for the derivative is of course $I^f_\gamma(E_{k+1,(\cdot)}, g, h)$ so we attempt to verify the asymptotic equality

$$I^f_\gamma(E_{k,s}, g, h) = I^f_\gamma(E_{k,s_o}, g, h) + (s - s_o)I^f_\gamma(E_{k+1,s_o}, g, h) + o(|s - s_o|)$$

for every $s_o \in \mathbf{C}$. However, since $E \mapsto I^f_\gamma(E, g, h)$ is $\mathbf{C}$-linear this is implied by $|I^f_\gamma(\widetilde{E}_{k,s,s_o}, g, h)| = o(|s - s_o|)$ for every $s_o \in \mathbf{C}$ where $\widetilde{E}_{k,s,s_o} \in \mathscr{O}(\mathbf{C})$ is given by

$$\widetilde{E}_{k,s,s_o}(z) = z^k e^{sz} - z^k e^{s_o z} - (s - s_o)z^{k+1}e^{s_o z} = z^k e^{s_o z}(e^{(s-s_o)z} - 1 - (s - s_o)z).$$

The rightmost expression shows that $|\widetilde{E}_{k,s,s_o}(z)| = O(|s - s_o|^2)$ pointwise for every z and uniformly for z in any bounded subset of $\mathbf{C}$. In particular

$$|\widetilde{E}_{k,s,s_o} \circ \log \circ f(\gamma(0))| = o(|s - s_o|) \tag{2.1}$$

and since log must map the compact set $f(S_\gamma)$ into another compact set,

$$\max_{z \in f(S_\gamma)} |\widetilde{E}_{k,s,s_o}(\log z)| = o(|s - s_o|). \tag{2.2}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{E}'_{k,s,s_o}(z) = {} & kz^{k-1}e^{s_o z}(e^{(s-s_o)z} - 1 - (s - s_o)z) \\ & + z^k s_o e^{s_o z}(e^{(s-s_o)z} - 1 - (s - s_o)z) + z^k e^{s_o z}(s - s_o)(e^{(s-s_o)z} - 1)\end{aligned}$$

thus $|\widetilde{E}'_{k,s,s_o}(z)| = O(|s - s_o|^2)$ pointwise and uniformly in bounded subsets. In particular

$$\max_{z \in \mathrm{h}(f(S_\gamma))} |\widetilde{E}'_{k,s,s_o}(\log z)| = o(|s - s_o|). \tag{2.3}$$

On combining (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), $|I^f_\gamma(\widetilde{E}_{k,s,s_o}, g, h)| = o(|s - s_o|)$ by the estimate given in Corollary 2.2. This proves that $I^f_\gamma(E_{k,(\cdot)}, g, h) \in \mathscr{O}(\mathbf{C})$ with derivative $I^f_\gamma(E_{k+1,(\cdot)}, g, h)$. □

3. Proof of theorem 1.1

Recall from the introduction that $\gamma : [0, T] \to V$ is a path of p variation ($1 \le p < 2$) taking values in the euclidean vector space V, with signature $X_\gamma \in \widehat{\bigoplus}_{k \ge 0} V^{\otimes k}$, as described in the introduction. The functions $f, g, h : S_\gamma \to \mathbf{C}$ are Lipschitz and the convex hull of the image of f does not contain zero. Our task in this section is to prove Theorem 1.1, so we will herein assume that $\log : \mathrm{h}(f(S_\gamma)) \to \mathbf{C}$, if it exists, is the unique branch of the logarithm such that $|\log z| < \log 2$ on $\mathrm{h}(f(S_\gamma))$. Such a unique logarithm exists, for instance, if f is positive and satisfies $1/2 < f < 2$ on S_γ, for then $\mathrm{h}(f(S_\gamma)) = f(S_\gamma)$ is a compact subinterval of $(1/2, 2)$ whence $|\log f| < \log 2$.

Thus, with $F(s) = I^f_\gamma(E_{0,s}, g, h) = \int_\gamma f^s g dh$ as in the introduction, we are tasked with computing the value $F(s)$ from the known values $\{F(k)\}_{k \in \mathbf{N}}$ which come directly from the signature. There is a general procedure developed by Boas and Buck [BB64, Buc47, Buc48] which can accomplish this task, provided that F satisfies certain growth conditions at infinity. It seems appropriate to briefly describe the procedure rather than simply quoting the relevant results. If $H \in \mathscr{O}(\mathbf{C})$ is a generic entire function which satisfies an estimate of the form $|H(z)| \le Ae^{B|z|}$ for $z \in (1, \infty)$ then its Laplace transform $\mathscr{L}H(w) = \int_0^\infty H(z)e^{-wz}dz$ defines a

holomorphic function in the region $\{\operatorname{Re} w > B\}$, and it is natural to ask if $\mathscr{L}H$ extends to a holomorphic function in the neighborhood of infinity defined by $\{|w| > B\}$. If this is the case then it is easy to deduce what the Taylor coefficients at infinity must be since if $w \in (B, \infty)$ then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{L}H(w) &= \int_0^\infty H(z)e^{-wz}dz \\ &= \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{H^{(n)}(0)}{n!} \int_0^m z^n e^{-wz}dz + \int_m^\infty H(z)e^{-wz}dz \\ &= \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{H^{(n)}(0)}{n!} \frac{1}{w^{n+1}} \int_0^m (wz)^{(n+1)-1} e^{-wz}d(wz) + \int_m^\infty H(z)e^{-wz}dz.\end{aligned}$$

By letting $m \to \infty$ the remainder tends to zero and we recognize $\Gamma(n+1) = n!$ in each term so that $\mathscr{L}H(w) = \sum_{n\geq 0} H^{(n)}(0)/w^{n+1}$ on (B, ∞). Therefore, $\mathscr{L}H$ will extend to the region $\{|w| > B\}$ provided that $\limsup_{n\to\infty} |H^{(n)}(0)|^{1/n} < B$ for then

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \left|H^{(n)}(0)/w^{n+1}\right|^{1/n} = \frac{1}{|w|} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{|H^{(n)}(0)|^{1/n}}{|w|^{1/n}} < 1$$

This will be the case if the estimate $|H(z)| < Ae^{B|z|}$ holds for all z and not only for $z \in (1, \infty)$, for then by Cauchy's estimate $|H^{(n)}(0)| < n!Ae^{Br}/r^n$ for all $r > 0$ and this is minimized at $r = n/B$ so that $|H^{(n)}(0)| < n!AB^n e^n/n^n$. Therefore

$$|H^{(n)}(0)|^{1/n} < A^{1/n} B \frac{e(n!)^{1/n}}{n} = (2\pi n)^{1/2n} A^{1/n} B \frac{e(n!)^{1/n}}{n(2\pi n)^{1/2n}}$$

so that $\limsup_{n\to\infty} |H^{(n)}(0)|^{1/n} < B$, by Stirling's estimate. Thus, the power series $\mathscr{B}H(w) = \sum_{n\geq 0} H^{(n)}(0)/w^{n+1}$ converges absolutely to an analytic function, uniformly on compact subsets of the region $\{|w| > B\}$, and therefore defines a holomorphic function in a neighborhood of $\infty \in \mathbf{P}^1_{\mathbf{C}}$, taking the value 0 at ∞ and extending $\mathscr{L}H$. The extension $\mathscr{B}H$ of $\mathscr{L}H$ is usually referred to as the Borel transform of H.

We can invert this procedure as follows. If $r > B$ then for fixed z both power series $\mathscr{B}H(w) = \sum_{n\geq 0} H^{(n)}(0)/w^{n+1}$ and $e^{zw} = \sum_{n\geq 0} z^n w^n/n!$ converge absolutely and uniformly on the circle $\{|w| = r\}$ and therefore

$$\int_{|w|=r} \mathscr{B}H(w)e^{zw}dw = \sum_{n\geq 0} \left(\sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{H^{(k)}(0)}{n!} \int_{|w|=r} w^{n-k-1}dw \right) z^n,$$

but $\int_{|w|=r} w^{n-k-1}dw$ is nonzero only if $n = k$ so evidently

$$H(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w|=r} \mathscr{B}H(w)e^{zw}dw. \tag{3.1}$$

This is called the Pólya representation of H, it is valid not only for the contour $\{|w| = r\}$ but any simple closed contour contained in $\{|w| > B\}$ and it suggests a generalization, due to Buck [Buc47, Buc48], which will allow us to compute any value $H(s)$ from $\{H(k)\}_{k\in\mathbf{N}}$ and thus prove Theorem 1.1 by substituting $F(s) = \int_\gamma f^s g dh$ for H. The essence of Buck's method is that rather than settling only for the series expansion $e^{zw} = \sum_{n\geq 0} z^n w^n/n!$, we can choose to write e^{zw} in any of a

number of different ways. In particular we will be interested in the binomial series expansion:

$$e^{zw} = (e^w)^z = (e^w - 1 + 1)^z = \sum_{n\geq 0} \binom{z}{n} (e^w - 1)^n,$$

which is valid in the region defined by $|e^w - 1| < 1$.

Lemma 3.1. *The inclusion* $\{|w| = r\} \subset \{|e^w - 1| < 1\}$ *holds if and only if* $r < \log 2$.

Proof. If $w = x + iy$ then $|e^w - 1|^2 = e^{2x} - 2e^x \cos y + 1$, so the first observation to be made is that if $|w| = r$ and $|e^w - 1| < 1$ then $r < \pi/2$ necessarily, for otherwise the circle $\{|x + iy| = r\}$ contains points with $\cos y < 0$ which would imply $|e^w - 1|^2 = e^{2x} - 2e^x \cos y + 1 > 1$. Having reduced consideration to $r < \pi/2$, we observe that $(x, y) \mapsto e^{2x} - 2e^x \cos y + 1$ can achieve a maximum at a point (x, y) in the circle $x^2 + y^2 = r^2$ only if its gradient is orthogonal to $(y, -x)$, or in other words only if $ye^x - y \cos y - x \sin y = 0$. Since $(\pm r, 0)$ can be checked individually we only care about the case $0 < |y| < \pi/2$ and the necessary condition in this case reduces to $e^x - \cos y - (\sin y/y)x = 0$ with $\cos y, \sin y/y > 0$ so that the minimum value of $x \mapsto e^x - \cos y - (\sin y/y)x$ is $(\sin y/y) - \cos y - (\sin y/y) \log(\sin y/y)$, but this is positive for $y \in (-\pi/2, 0) \cup (0, \pi/2)$ and so $ye^x - y \cos y - x \sin y = 0$ and $|y| < \pi/2$ imply $y = 0$. Thus, the extremal values of $(x, y) \mapsto e^{2x} - 2e^x \cos y + 1$ on the circle $\{|x + iy| = r\}$ must occur at $(\pm r, 0)$. The maximum and minimum values are therefore $e^{2r} - 2e^r + 1$ and $e^{-2r} - 2e^{-r} + 1$ respectively and one finds $r = \log 2$ as the threshold value for the inclusion of sets stated in the lemma. □

So, if H is such that $|H(z)| \leq Ae^{B|z|}$ with $B < \log 2$ then r can be chosen such that $\{|w| = r\}$ lies in both the region of absolute convergence of the Borel transform $\mathscr{B}H$ *and* the region of absolute convergence of the series $e^{zw} = \sum_{n\geq 0} \binom{z}{n} (e^w - 1)^n$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} H(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w|=r} \mathscr{B}H(w) e^{zw} dw \\ &= \sum_{n\geq 0} \binom{z}{n} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w|=r} \mathscr{B}H(w)(e^w - 1)^n dw \\ &= \sum_{n\geq 0} \binom{z}{n} \sum_{0\leq k\leq n} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^{n-k} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w|=r} \mathscr{B}H(w) e^{kw} dw \\ &= \sum_{n\geq 0} \binom{z}{n} \sum_{0\leq k\leq n} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^{n-k} H(k) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$H(z) = \sum_{0\leq n} (-1)^n \binom{z}{n} \sum_{0\leq k\leq n} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} H(k). \tag{3.2}$$

To finish the proof of Theorem 1.1 we need only to observe that the estimate $|\log f| < \log 2$ implies the required growth condition $|F(s)| \leq Ae^{B|s|}$ with $B < \log 2$. This is a simple consequence of the results of section 2, specifically Corollary 2.2.

4. The winding number

Recall from the introduction that if in addition to the standing hypotheses we assume that γ is a closed path, then

$$W_\gamma(x_1 \wedge x_2; \xi_1, \xi_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_\gamma \frac{(x_1 - \xi_1)dx_2 - (x_2 - \xi_2)dx_1}{(x_1 - \xi_1)^2 + (x_2 - \xi_2)^2}$$

is the $x_1 \wedge x_2$-oriented winding number around the codimension two affine submanifold $\{x_1 = \xi_1, x_2 = \xi_2\}$ and it can be computed using Theorem 1.1 and the signature X_γ since $W_\gamma(x_1 \wedge x_2; \xi_1, \xi_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi} F(-1)$ where F is the entire function defined by

$$F(s) = \int_\gamma [(x_1 - \xi_1)^2 + (x_2 - \xi_2)^2]^s ((x_1 - \xi_1)dx_2 - (x_2 - \xi_2)dx_1).$$

Our first task in this section is to prove Theorem 1.2. By (3.2),

$$2\pi W_\gamma(x_1 \wedge x_2; \xi_1, \xi_2) = \sum_{0 \le n} \sum_{0 \le k \le n} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} F(k)$$

provided the standing hypothesis $1/2 < (x_1 - \xi_1)^2 + (x_2 - \xi_2)^2 < 2$ is satisfied. Now the values $F(k)$ for $k \in \mathbf{N}$ can be computed from the signature in a rather explicit fashion using (1.1):

$$\begin{aligned} F(k) &= \int_\gamma [(x_1 - \xi_1)^2 + (x_2 - \xi_2)^2]^k ((x_1 - \xi_1)dx_2 - (x_2 - \xi_2)dx_1) \\ &= \sum_{k_1 + k_2 = k} \frac{k!}{k_1! k_2!} \int_\gamma (x_1 - \xi_1)^{2k_1} (x_2 - \xi_2)^{2k_2} ((x_1 - \xi_1)dx_2 - (x_2 - \xi_2)dx_1) \\ &= \sum_{k_1 + k_2 = k} \frac{k!}{k_1! k_2!} \sum_{\substack{j_1^1 + j_1^2 = 2k_1 \\ j_2^1 + j_2^2 = 2k_2}} \frac{(2k_1)!}{j_1^1! j_1^2!} \frac{(2k_2)!}{j_2^1! j_2^2!} \left\langle T_{j_2^1, j_2^2}^{j_1^1, j_1^2}, X_\gamma \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

where $T_{j_2^1, j_2^2}^{j_1^1, j_1^2} \in V^{*\otimes(j_1^1 + j_2^2 + 1)} \bigoplus V^{*\otimes(j_1^1 + j_2^2 + 2)}$ is the dual tensor

$$\begin{aligned} (4.1)\quad T_{j_2^1, j_2^2}^{j_1^1, j_1^2} &= (x_1 \circ \gamma(0) - \xi_1)^{j_1^2} (x_2 \circ \gamma(0) - \xi_2)^{j_2^1} \\ &\quad \times \Bigg(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{j_1^1 + j_2^2 + 1}} \sigma[x_1^{\otimes(j_1^1 + 1)} \otimes x_2^{\otimes j_2^2}] \otimes x_2 - \sigma[x_1^{\otimes j_1^1} \otimes x_2^{\otimes(j_2^2 + 1)}] \otimes x_1 \\ &\qquad + (x_1 \circ \gamma(0) - \xi_1) \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{j_1^1 + j_2^2}} \sigma[x_1^{\otimes j_1^1} \otimes x_2^{\otimes j_2^2}] \otimes x_2 \\ &\qquad - (x_2 \circ \gamma(0) - \xi_2) \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{j_1^1 + j_2^2}} \sigma[x_1^{\otimes j_1^1} \otimes x_2^{\otimes j_2^2}] \otimes x_1 \Bigg) \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

For computational purposes one should exploit the fact that the winding number is an integer, and as such it is known once it is known within an error strictly less

than 1/2. Specifically, if $-\log 2 < -r < \log[(x_1-\xi_1)^2+(x_2-\xi_2)^2] < r < \log 2$ then for any N,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|2\pi W_\gamma(x_1\wedge x_2;\xi_1,\xi_2) - \sum_{0\le n\le N}\sum_{0\le k\le n}(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}F(k)\right| \\
&\qquad = \left|\sum_{N+1\le n}\sum_{0\le k\le n}(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}F(k)\right| \\
&\qquad = \left|\sum_{N+1\le n}\frac{(-1)^n}{2\pi i}\int_{|w|=r}\mathscr{B}F(w)(e^w-1)^n dw\right| \\
&\qquad \le \frac{1}{2\pi}\|\mathscr{B}F\|_{L^1(\{|w|=r\})}\sum_{N+1\le n}\|e^{(\cdot)}-1\|^n_{L^\infty(\{|w|=r\})} \\
&\qquad = \frac{1}{2\pi}\|\mathscr{B}F\|_{L^1(\{|w|=r\})}\frac{(e^{2r}-2e^r+1)^{N+1}}{2e^r-e^{2r}}
\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 3.1. We have proved:

Corollary 4.1. *If $N\in\mathbf{N}$, $-\log 2 < -r < \log[(x_1-\xi_1)^2+(x_2-\xi_2)^2] < r < \log 2$ and*

$$\|\mathscr{B}F\|_{L^1(\{|w|=r\})}\frac{(e^{2r}-2e^r+1)^{N+1}}{2e^r-e^{2r}} < 2\pi^2$$

then $W_\gamma(x_1\wedge x_2;\xi_1,\xi_2)$ is equal to the integer nearest the finite sum

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{0\le n\le N}\sum_{0\le k\le n}(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}\sum_{k_1+k_2=k}\frac{k!}{k_1!k_2!}\sum_{\substack{j_1^1+j_1^2=2k_1\\ j_2^1+j_2^2=2k_2}}\frac{(2k_1)!}{j_1^1!j_1^2!}\frac{(2k_2)!}{j_2^1!j_2^2!}\left\langle T^{j_1^1,j_1^2}_{j_2^1,j_2^2},X_\gamma\right\rangle$$

where $T^{j_1^1,j_1^2}_{j_2^1,j_2^2}\in V^{*\otimes(j_1^1+j_2^2+1)}\bigoplus V^{*\otimes(j_1^1+j_2^2+2)}$ is defined in (4.1).

The winding number is therefore computable from only finitely many terms in the signature, and an estimate on the number of terms needed can be computed directly from an estimate of $\|\mathscr{B}F\|_{L^1(\{|w|=r\})}$. Such an estimate can be obtained in the general case $1\le p<2$ from Corollary 2.2, but we will only state the result precisely for the bounded variation case.

If $\log[(x_1-\xi_1)^2+(x_2-\xi_2)^2]\le\rho$ then uniformly on $\gamma([0,T])$,

$$|x_1-\xi_1|\le\sqrt{(x_1-\xi_1)^2+(x_2-\xi_2)^2}\le e^{\rho/2}$$

and likewise $|x_2-\xi_2|\le e^{\rho/2}$. Therefore, if in addition to the standing hypotheses we also assume that γ is of bounded variation then

$$\begin{aligned}
|F^{(n)}(0)| &= \left|\int_\gamma(\log[(x_1-\xi_1)^2+(x_2-\xi_2)^2])^n((x_1-\xi_1)dx_2-(x_2-\xi_2)dx_1)\right| \\
&\le \rho^n e^{\rho/2}(\mathrm{len}(x_2\circ\gamma)+\mathrm{len}(x_1\circ\gamma))
\end{aligned}$$

provided that the lower bound $-\rho\le\log[(x_1-\xi_1)^2+(x_2-\xi_2)^2]$ holds as well (so that $\log[(x_1-\xi_1)^2+(x_2-\xi_2)^2]$ is bounded in absolute value by ρ). Thus, if $\rho<|w|$

then

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathscr{B}F(w)| &= \left|\sum_{n\geq 0} F^{(n)}(0)/w^{n+1}\right| \\ &\leq \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{\rho^n e^{\rho/2}(\mathrm{len}(x_2\circ\gamma)+\mathrm{len}(x_1\circ\gamma))}{|w|^{n+1}} \\ &= \frac{e^{\rho/2}(\mathrm{len}(x_2\circ\gamma)+\mathrm{len}(x_1\circ\gamma))}{|w|-\rho}\end{aligned}$$

and therefore if $-\log 2 < -r < \rho \leq \log[(x_1-\xi_1)^2+(x_2-\xi_2)^2] \leq \rho < r < \log 2$ then

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|2\pi W_\gamma(x_1\wedge x_2;\xi_1,\xi_2) - \sum_{0\leq n\leq N}\sum_{0\leq k\leq n}(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}F(k)\right| \\ &\qquad\leq \frac{1}{2\pi}\|\mathscr{B}F\|_{L^1(\{|w|=r\})}\frac{(e^{2r}-2e^r+1)^{N+1}}{2e^r-e^{2r}} \\ &\qquad\leq r\frac{e^{\rho/2}(\mathrm{len}(x_2\circ\gamma)+\mathrm{len}(x_1\circ\gamma))}{r-\rho}\frac{(e^{2r}-2e^r+1)^{N+1}}{2e^r-e^{2r}}.\end{aligned}$$

We have proved:

Corollary 4.2. *If in addition to the standing hypotheses, we also assume that γ is of bounded variation, and if $N\in\mathbf{N}$ and $\rho, r>0$ are chosen so that*

$$-\log 2 < -r < -\rho \leq \log[(x_1-\xi_1)^2+(x_2-\xi_2)^2] \leq \rho < r < \log 2$$

and

$$r\frac{e^{\rho/2}(\mathrm{len}(x_2\circ\gamma)+\mathrm{len}(x_1\circ\gamma))}{r-\rho}\frac{(e^{2r}-2e^r+1)^{N+1}}{2e^r-e^{2r}} < \pi$$

then $W_\gamma(x_1\wedge x_2;\xi_1,\xi_2)$ is equal to the integer nearest the finite sum

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{0\leq n\leq N}\sum_{0\leq k\leq n}(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}\sum_{k_1+k_2=k}\frac{k!}{k_1!k_2!}\sum_{\substack{j_1^1+j_1^2=2k_1\\ j_2^1+j_2^2=2k_2}}\frac{(2k_1)!}{j_1^1!j_1^2!}\frac{(2k_2)!}{j_2^1!j_2^2!}\left\langle T^{j_1^1,j_1^2}_{j_2^1,j_2^2}, X_\gamma\right\rangle$$

where $T^{j_1^1,j_1^2}_{j_2^1,j_2^2}\in V^{*\otimes(j_1^1+j_2^2+1)}\bigoplus V^{*\otimes(j_1^1+j_2^2+2)}$ is defined in (4.1).

References

[Boa42] R. P. Boas Jr., *Entire functions of exponential type*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **48** (1942), 839–849. MR0007431 (4,136c)

[BB64] Ralph P. Boas Jr. and R. Creighton Buck, *Polynomial expansions of analytic functions*, Second printing, corrected. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, N.F., Bd. 19, Academic Press Inc., Publishers, New York, 1964. MR0162914 (29 #218)

[BNQ14] Horatio Boedihardjo, Hao Ni, and Zhongmin Qian, *Uniqueness of signature for simple curves*, J. Funct. Anal. **267** (2014), no. 6, 1778–1806, DOI 10.1016/j.jfa.2014.06.006. MR3237773

[Buc47] R. Creighton Buck, *Interpolation and uniqueness of entire functions*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **33** (1947), 288–292. MR0022601 (9,232g)

[Buc48] ———, *Interpolation series*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **64** (1948), 283–298. MR0029985 (10,693d)

[Che54] Kuo-Tsai Chen, *Iterated integrals and exponential homomorphisms*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **4** (1954), 502–512. MR0073174 (17,394g)

[Che58] ———, *Integration of paths—a faithful representation of paths by non-commutative formal power series*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **89** (1958), 395–407. MR0106258 (21 #4992)

[FV10] Peter K. Friz and Nicolas B. Victoir, *Multidimensional stochastic processes as rough paths*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 120, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010. Theory and applications. MR2604669 (2012e:60001)

[HL10] Ben Hambly and Terry Lyons, *Uniqueness for the signature of a path of bounded variation and the reduced path group*, Ann. of Math. (2) **171** (2010), no. 1, 109–167, DOI 10.4007/annals.2010.171.109. MR2630037 (2011i:58059)

[Yam08] Sheung Chi Phillip Yam, *Analytical and Topological Aspects of Signatures*, 2008. Thesis (D.Phil.)–Wolfson College, Oxford.

[You36] L. C. Young, *An inequality of the Hölder type, connected with Stieltjes integration*, Acta Math. **67** (1936), no. 1, 251–282, DOI 10.1007/BF02401743. MR1555421

Department of Mathematics, Purdue University
E-mail address: aursitti@math.purdue.edu